

Interview Summary	Application No. <u>09/687575</u>	Applicant(s) <u>Daouk et al</u>	
	Examiner Raymond Covington	Art Unit 1625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Raymond Covington.

(3) Al Korman ARR 2/4/03

(2) Charlotte Handley.

(4) Cynthia Sorros

Date of Interview: 2/4/03

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-63.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant will file a supplemental amendment limiting the claims to a specific set of agents for inducing neuronal activity.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Raymond Covington
Examiner's signature, if required